1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 \* \* \* 4 VICTOR TAGLE, Case No. 2:15-cv-002506-APG-VCF 5 Plaintiff, ORDER ON REPORT AND 6 RECOMMENDATION v. 7 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND (DKT. Nos. 2, 4) SECURITY, 8 Defendant. 9 10 On January 11, 2016, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach entered a Report and Recommendation 11 that I dismiss plaintiff Victor Tagle's complaint without prejudice and grant him leave to amend. 12 (Dkt. #2.) Mr. Tagle did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo 13 review of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to 14 "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to 15 which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) 16 (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations 17 de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)). 18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Ferenbach's Report and Recommendation 19 (Dkt. #2) is accepted and the complaint is dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff Victor Tagle 20 shall file an amended complaint—if he can correct the defects pointed out in Judge Ferenbach's 21 Report and Recommendation—within 30 days of entry of this Order. The failure to file an 22 appropriate amended complaint will result in dismissal and closure of this case. 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for speedy trial (Dkt. #4) is DENIED 24 as moot. 25 DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016. 26 ANDREW P. GORDON 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28